Approved For Release 2007/07/06: CIA-RDP83M00914R001800120090-5

Children I OF THE

16 WHY WOULD AN "OFFICE" REQUEST A NAME CHECK ON A PERSON AND WHAT IS A NAME CHECK? WHAT IS MEANT BY "DO NOT EXCEED THE REQUESTING AGENCIES..."?
WHERE ARE THE RESULTS OF THAT NAME CHECK?

FBIS

MR. GENE F. WILSON 27 MARCH 1978 PAGE 2

TO B	17 WHAT IS A "COVERT NAME CHECK" AND WHY WOULD SUCH A CHECK BE PERFORMED ON WHERE ARE THE RESULTS OF THAT CHECK?	STAT
	18 WHY IS THE ID # FOR DIFFERENT FROM THE ID # IN ITEM (17) WHICH IS WHAT DOES THE "C"	STAT
(3)_	THE ID # IN ITEM (17) WHICH IS WHAT DOES THE "C"  AFTER THE ID NUMBER MEAN?	STAT
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	CTAT
( <del>(</del> <del>(</del> <b>(((((((((((((</b>	19 DO ANY OF THE EXCISED PORTIONS MENTION CONCERNED NUMBER	STAT
	WHAT DOES MEAN? IS THE MEMORANDUM CONCERNED WITH THE FLANNING OF THE INVASION OF HAITI AND/OR A CBS NEWS	STAT
₹. <u>:</u> ::	DOCUMENTARY?	
(5)	20 WAS THE ENTIRE MEMORANDUM DIRECTED TO A REQUEST FROM DADE COUNTY OFFICALS? WERE THOSE OFFICIALS THE DADE	
	COUNTY PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT? WHY WOULD A "HANDWRITTEN NOTE" OF DEATH	STAT
•	BR PLACED IN MIS FILE RATHER THAN A TIPED MEMO OR NEWS	
	CLIPPING? WITHIN THE EXCISED PORTIONS DO THE CONTENTS	
	REFER TO TO	STAT
0	21 THIS ITEM SEEMS TO BE INCOMPLETE. IS THERE ANY PAPERWORK THAT INITIATED THIS ITEM? WHY WAS THIS ITEM GENERATED?	
	22 THE DATE OF THIS ITEM FOLLOWS BY THREE DAYS YOUR	
	RECEIPT OF A COMMUNICATION FROM THE US COAST GUARD	$c = \lambda T$
(1)	CONCERNING A FOSSIBLE INVASION OF CUBA ETC.	STAT STAT
<u>.</u>	COMMUNICATION? WHY IS THE IMMIGRATION STATUS OF AN INDIVIDUAL INVOLVED IN SUCH AN INCIDENT IMPORTANT?	
(4)	23 ITEM 23 IS A ROUTING SLIP! WHAT WAS IT ATTACHED? WHY WAS IT GENERATED AND WHERE IS THE MATERIAL THAT THE SLIP WAS USED TO ROUTE?	
1	24 WHERE IS REFERENCE (1) MFMO? IS MENTIONED IN THE EXCISED PORTIONS?	, STAT

AS A GENERAL GUIDE TO YOU AND YOUR STAFF IN REGARDS TO MY REQUESTS AND QUESTIONS, PLEASE BEAR IN MIND THAT I AM OBTAINING THIS INFORMATION FOR HISTORICAL PURPOSES. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO HAVE DATES, FLACE AND PERSONAL NAMES, AND REASONS FOR THE INITIATION OF DOCUMENTS.

IN REGARDS TO PERSONAL NAMES, I BELIEVE THAT THE TEST OF RELEASE UNDER (b) (6) WOULD BE "UNWARRENTED INVASION OF PRIVACY".

MR. GENE F. WILSON 27 MARCH 1978 page 3

THE RELEASE OF A NAME, I SUGGEST, WOULD NOT CONSTITUTE "UNWARRENTED".

I DO NOT WANT TO COMPROMISE THE AGENCY, ITS TECHNIQUES, INFORMANTS, ETC. I DO ASK FOR LIBERAL RELEASE OF NAMES OF THOSE NOT AFFILIATED WITH THE AGENCY AND LIBERAL RELEASE OF DATES AND DESCRIPTIONS OF INCIDENCES. THE PRIVACY ACT (5 USC 552a) ONLY COVERS "CITIZENS" AND RESIDENT ALIENS. THIS INTERPRETATION IS ALSO HELD BY THE CHIEF—COUNSEL OF THE US IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE, MR. JOHN C. MIDANEK. THEREFORE THE PRIVACY ACT DOES NOT COVER PERSONS ON VISAS, PAROLEES, OR FOREIGNERS. IF YOU DESIRE TO VERIFY THE STATUS OF ANY CUBAN MENTIONED IN ANY OF THE MATERIAL I AM REQUESTING YOU MAY CALL—

INDICATED TO ME THAT HE WOULD TRY TO VERIFY THE IMMIGRATION STATUS FOR YOU.

TO THIS POINT IN MY FOIA REQUEST, I HAVE BEEN VERY MUCH SATISFIED AS TO THE WAY YOU HAVE HANDLED MY QUERIES. I AM EXTREMELY IMPRESSED WITH YOUR RECORD KEEPING AND MAINTAIN A HIGH RESPECT FOR YOUR AGENCY.

**STAT**